

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,601 03/31/2000		. Eric Hauk	35103-018	9898
75	590 06/16/2005	•	EXAMINER	
Tracy R Thom	nas	SOUGH, HYUNG SUB		
McDermott Wi	ll & Emery			
227 West Monr	oe Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 6	0606	3628		
		DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
		09/540,66	01	HAUK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examine	,	Art Unit	
		Richard F	-ults	3628	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ress
A SHOTHE I  - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nunication. 0) days, a reply within the stat atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  vs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this come  CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊠ This action is r for allowance except	for formal matters, pro		nerits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16,18 and 20-33 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-16,18 and 20-33 is/are reconstruction claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
Applicati	on Papers		•		
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to the coath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) ction to the drawing(s) to the correction is require	oe held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental departments in the beautiful that the beaut	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Si	tage
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		152)

Application/Control Number: 09/540,601

Art Unit: 3628

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-16, 18, and 20-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feder, Face Lift at Board of Trade, New York Times, 1997 (hereinafter Feder), further in view of Schwartz, Virtual Playground, Virtual Reality Symposium, 1998 (hereinafter Schwartz).

Feder discloses, see at least pages 1-5) all of the systems and apparatus described in claims 1-16, 18, and 20-33, including a coder/decoder that receives and transmits data, a graphic interface coupled to said coder/decoder to receive data from said coder/decoder, said graphic interface displaying said data received from said coder/decoder as a plurality of trader metaphors representative of actual traders, said trader metaphors simulating one or more body movements of traders in an open outcry trading environment, a data interface coupled to said coder/decoder to receive data from said coder/decoder, said data interface displaying said data received from said coder/decoder in a non-graphic, human-readable form, and a control interface coupled to said coder/decoder for initiating orders related to data displayed by on or both of said graphic interface and said data interface. Feder does not teach the technical details of virtual reality per se, but Feder does anticipate the use of virtual reality on the commodity pit trading floor.

Page 3

Schwartz teaches (see at least pages 1-11) the technical details of virtual reality per se.

Because it would have provided a more comprehensive and efficient of utilizing virtual reality on the commodity pit trading floor it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to add the teachings of Schwartz to those of Feder, and to add those of Feder to those of Schwartz for the same reasons.

Because Feder has anticipated the concept of using virtual reality on the commodity pit trading floor to simulate and duplicate the hand signals of the floor traders, and Schwartz teaches the technical details of virtual reality, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have anticipated the claims of this application and made and used this invention prior to its filing date.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Fults whose telephone number is 703-305-5416. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung Sough, can be reached on (703)-305-0505. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

3/18/2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600